## Comment on "Optimization of Ground- and Excited-State Wave Functions and van der Waals Clusters"

In a recent Letter Nightingale and Melik-Alaverdian [1] used the correlation function quantum Monte Carlo method (CFMC) [2,3] to compute vibrational energy levels of  $Ar_n$  with n up to 7. In this Comment we point out that the trial functions used in Ref. [1] prevent the authors from obtaining odd-parity states and thus that they miss some of the levels.

The CFMC method uses a Monte Carlo imaginary-time projection method to obtain a very compact basis [2,3]. The Monte Carlo imaginary-time projector removes wave functions with larger energies from a starting basis of optimized many-parameter trial functions. Overlap and Hamiltonian matrix elements are computed in the final Monte Carlo projected basis and a small generalized eigenvalue problem is solved. The trial functions used by Nightingale and Melik-Alaverdian depend only on the interatomic distances which are all invariant under the inversion operation: none of their basis functions have odd parity. All of the physically allowed states of  $Ar_n$  are invariant under permutation of Ar nuclei, but not all allowed states have even parity. Clusters with more than three atoms will have odd-parity vibrational states.

We have used the polar coordinates associated with the diatom-diatom Jacobi vectors, a large basis, and the Lanczos algorithm to compute vibrational levels of Ar<sub>4</sub>. The potential is the same as that used in Ref. [1]. The basis functions we use are products of parity-adapted bend and stretch functions. The parity-adapted bend functions are products of associated Legendre functions and sine or cosine functions [4]. The stretch functions are products of three potential optimized discrete variable representation [5,6] functions.

We compute all eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian operator and then select those that are invariant with respect to permutation of the Ar nuclei. This is done using a correlation table. The molecular symmetry group of  $Ar_4$  is the permutation-inversion group  $G_{48} = \{E, E^*\} \otimes S_4$ , where  $\{E, E^*\}$  is the inversion group and  $S_4$  is the permutation group of four identical particles [7]. The coordinate symmetry group,  $G_{16} = \{E, E^*\} \otimes G_8$ , where  $G_8 = \{E, (12)\} \otimes \{E, (34)\} \otimes \{E, (13)(24)\}$ , is a group whose symmetry we can exploit easily. We label levels computed using the coordinate symmetry group with irreducible representations of the full symmetry group by using a correlation table.

We can compare the even-parity levels with those obtained by Nightingale *et al.* using the CFMC method. More accurate CFMC levels were published in Ref. [8]. See Table I. They agree well with our results. For every even-parity level there is an odd-parity level whose energy differs by less than 0.0001, indicating that there is little

TABLE I. A comparison of bosonic levels of this work and those computed with the CFMC method [1,8]. +/- refer to the even/odd parity.

Parity	Ref. [1]	Ref. [8]	This work
+	-5.11811	-5.118 146 05	-5.1181
+	-4.785	-4.80089773	-4.8008
+	-4.674	-4.7251567	-4.7250
+	-4.530	-4.630025	-4.6299
+	-4.39	-4.586389	-4.5861
_			-4.5682
+			-4.5278
+			-4.4834
+			-4.4631
_			-4.4380
+			-4.4279

tunneling. There are odd-parity levels that are invariant under permutation of Ar nuclei (with even-parity partners that are not invariant under permutation of Ar nuclei). These cannot be computed with the trial functions of Ref. [1] because they depend only on interatomic distances. Using trial functions that are functions of only interatomic distances will prevent one from computing odd-parity vibrational states, which exist for any molecule with more than three atoms.

This work has been supported by the NSERC and the RQCHP.

X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington, Jr.
Département de chimie
Université de Montréal
C.P. 6128, succursale Centre-ville
Montréal (Québec), H3C 3J7, Canada

Received 19 January 2007; published 16 March 2007 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.119301

PACS numbers: 36.40.Mr, 02.50.Ng, 02.70.Ss, 34.30.+h

- M. P. Nightingale and Melik-Alaverdian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 043401 (2001).
- [2] D. M. Ceperley and B. Bernu, J. Chem. Phys. 89, 6316 (1988).
- [3] B. Bernu, D. M. Ceperley, and W. A. Lester, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 93, 552 (1990).
- [4] X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington, Jr., Jian Tang, and A. R. W. McKellar, J. Chem. Phys. 123, 034301 (2005).
- [5] H. Wei and T. Carrington, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 97, 3029 (1992).
- [6] J. Echave and D. C. Clary, Chem. Phys. Lett. 190, 225 (1992).
- [7] P. R. Bunker and P. Jensen, *Molecular Symmetry and Spectroscopy* (NRC Research, Ottawa, 1998).
- [8] M. P. Nightingale and M. Moodley, J. Chem. Phys. 123, 014304 (2005).